IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.42169 of 2008
1. KRISHNADEO PODDAR @ SIKANDAR PODDAR
2. GYANDEO PODDAR
3. MANISH PODDAR @ SHEEL SINDHU
4. CHOTU PODDAR @ BHARTENDU RANJAN
----Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF BIHAR

---

3. 18.12.2008 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the State.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Complaint Case No.254C/08 for the alleged offences registered under sections 147, 148, 448, 379 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the allegation of committing loot is omnibus. However, the specific allegation whatsoever is against co-accused Arjun Yadav. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated which would be evident on perusal of the informatory petition, as contained in Annexure 4, filed by the wife of petitioner no.1 Asha Devi against the complainant long before the filing of the present complaint.

The learned APP is not in a position to refute the submissions.

Considering the above, let

petitioners no.1 to 4 above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Complaint Case No.254C/08 within a period of four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Munger, in connection with the aforesaid case, on the conditions mentioned in sub-section (2) of section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

PNM **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)**